



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/559,714

03/21/2007

Allan J. Block

1-36885

3836

43935

7590

06/30/2009

FRASER CLEMENS MARTIN & MILLER LLC  
28366 KENSINGTON LANE  
PERRYSBURG, OH 43551

EXAMINER

KANG, PAUL H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2444

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/30/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

miller@fraser-ip.com  
sloan@fraser-ip.com  
clemens@fraser-ip.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/559,714	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BLOCK, ALLAN J.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Paul H. Kang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul H. Kang. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Jacob Ward, Reg. No. 56754. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8, 10 and 13-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mayle, Selgel, Giordano.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims were discussed in light of the prior art of record. Applicant proposed to amend the claims to further clarify that the verification step includes is based on the input site location further comprising a predefined group of input sites consisting of the input sites. The examiner will determine whether any newly amended claims overcome the prior art of record in due course.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paul H Kang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444	
--	--